

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's Constitutional Triad: The Interplay of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity in Establishing Social Democracy in India

Mamta Meena

Assistant Professor

Department of Public Administration

Veer Narmad South Gujarat University, Surat



Abstract:

This research paper analyzes Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's philosophical vision underlying the Indian Constitution, with particular emphasis on his conceptual triad of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity. Ambedkar viewed these principles not merely as abstract ideals or legal guarantees but as the essential moral and social foundations for achieving Social Democracy in India. He argued that political democracy, based solely on elections and representation, would remain fragile unless sustained by social and economic equality, nurtured through the spirit of fraternity. The study examines how Ambedkar institutionalized these values through the Fundamental Rights (Part III), Directive Principles of State Policy (Part IV), and the Preamble, transforming them into constitutional mandates aimed at dismantling caste-based hierarchies and ensuring human dignity. Provisions such as the abolition of untouchability (Article 17), prohibition of discrimination (Article 15) and promotion of educational and economic justice (Article 46) exemplify Ambedkar's intent to translate ethical ideals into enforceable rights and state responsibilities.

Furthermore, the paper explores Ambedkar's insistence on constitutional morality—a civic virtue that binds citizens and institutions to uphold justice, tolerance, and mutual respect beyond mere legal compliance. By linking moral conscience to legal authority, Ambedkar established a framework where rights derive their strength from collective ethical responsibility. In conclusion, the paper argues that Ambedkar's philosophy positions social democracy as a continuous and dynamic process, requiring constant evaluation of public policy and social behaviour against the normative yardstick of liberty, equality, and fraternity. In an era marked by social polarization and inequality, Ambedkar's democratic trinity continues to serve as a timeless guide for building an inclusive, equitable, and morally grounded Indian society.

Introduction Ambedkar's Role and Significance of the Triad

Dr. Bhimrao Ramji Ambedkar stands as the chief architect of the Indian Constitution and a transformative thinker whose intellectual legacy lies in reconstructing Indian society on the principles of liberty, equality and fraternity. These ideals, enshrined in the Preamble of the Constitution, define India as a sovereign, socialist, secular and democratic republic committed to justice, liberty, equality and fraternity among all citizens (Keer 215). He saw the Constitution as a pedagogy of dignity and an instrument of social revolution, aiming to transform a hierarchical society into a politically and socially inclusive nation.

The core significance of Ambedkar's work that political democracy guaranteed by universal adult franchise one person, one vote is unsustainable without an underlying Social

Democracy. Democracy in India, as conceptualized by Dr. Ambedkar, extends beyond the ballot box. He believed that political democracy must be complemented by social and economic democracy to achieve genuine freedom for all citizens. The foundation of this social democracy rests on the interdependence of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity.

Ambedkar's envisioned democracy not merely as a system of governance but as a comprehensive social philosophy aimed at eradicating caste-based hierarchies and institutionalizing equality. His constitutional thought was rooted in the triad of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity which he regarded as the moral and philosophical foundation of a just society. Ambedkar reinterpreted these principles to address India's unique social realities, where centuries of graded inequality had fragmented the moral fabric of the nation.

Ambedkar's envisioned democracy not merely as a system of governance but as a comprehensive social philosophy aimed at eradicating caste-based hierarchies and institutionalizing equality. His constitutional thought was rooted in the triad of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity which he regarded as the moral and philosophical foundation of a just society. Ambedkar reinterpreted these principles to address India's unique social realities, where centuries of graded inequality had fragmented the moral fabric of the nation.

For Ambedkar, the Constitution was both a pedagogical tool for cultivating civic dignity and an instrument of social revolution designed to transform a hierarchical society into an inclusive democratic order. He consistently maintained that political democracy, expressed through the right to universal adult franchise, would remain hollow without social and economic democracy. Hence, Ambedkar's constitutional triad functions as an interdependent ethical framework ensuring that liberty is exercised with equality and both are sustained by fraternity.

This study explores how Ambedkar utilized these intertwined ideals to embed social democracy within the Indian Republic, making the Constitution a living document of justice, dignity and unity. The foundation of this social democracy rests on the interdependence of liberty, equality and fraternity which Ambedkar described as the principles of life.

This paper first analyzes the philosophical tension Ambedkar identified between political liberty and social inequality. The subsequent section examines the meticulous inclusion of Fundamental Rights (Articles 15 and 17) as revolutionary constitutional mechanisms that legally mandate substantive equality and non-discrimination. Ambedkar's paramount emphasis on Fraternity and the accompanying concept of Constitutional Morality as the indispensable social conscience required to bridge the gap between legal provisions and lived social reality. The conclusion posits that Ambedkar's constitutional philosophy provides

a continuous, dynamic framework for achieving justice, where the ethical triad serves as a non-negotiable yardstick for national progress.

Philosophical Foundations

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's constitutional philosophy represents a profound synthesis of moral, intellectual and socio-political ideas drawn from both Eastern and Western traditions. His vision was deeply influenced by the ideals of the French Revolution, the ethical and social teachings of Buddhism and the rational humanism of Enlightenment liberalism. However, Ambedkar's originality lay in his ability to reinterpret these philosophical traditions in the Indian socio-cultural context marked by caste hierarchy, religious orthodoxy and social exclusion. His approach aimed not merely at political reform but at the moral reconstruction of Indian society (Zelliot 85).

French Revolution

The ideals of the French Revolution Liberty, Equality and Fraternity formed the ethical bedrock of Ambedkar's democratic vision. These principles were not abstract Western concepts but essential moral values necessary for the establishment of a just social order. Ambedkar argued that liberty and equality, if not tempered by fraternity would lead to social conflict and moral decay. Fraternity, he maintained was the moral foundation that bound individuals into a cohesive democratic community (Ambedkar, Annihilation of Caste 47). In the context of India's entrenched caste system, he viewed fraternity as the antidote to social fragmentation and as the emotional basis of democracy. Thus, the revolutionary ideals of eighteenth-century Europe were reinterpreted by Ambedkar as instruments for social transformation in a deeply hierarchical Indian society (Keer 312).

Buddhist philosophy

Ambedkar's engagement with Buddhist philosophy further enriched his moral and constitutional thought. He found in Buddhism a rational and ethical system grounded in compassion (*karuṇā*), wisdom (*prajñā*), and equality. Buddhism, according to Ambedkar, upheld moral equality and social justice. In *The Buddha and His Dhamma* (1957) he presented Buddhism as a philosophy of human freedom and moral responsibility, capable of nurturing the ethical foundations of democracy. Ambedkar viewed Dhamma not as religious dogma but as a code of social ethics emphasizing reason, morality and compassion (Ambedkar, *The Buddha and His Dhamma* 129). Through this reinterpretation he sought to provide India with a spiritual and moral basis for democracy one that harmonized individual liberty with social responsibility (Jaffrelot 210).

Western liberal thought

Ambedkar's exposure to Western liberal and Enlightenment thought particularly the works of John Stuart Mill, Thomas Paine and his mentor John Dewey profoundly shaped his understanding of democracy and constitutionalism. From Dewey, he absorbed the concept of democracy as a mode of associated living, emphasizing cooperation, dialogue, and ethical participation in public life (Dewey 93). Ambedkar adapted these liberal ideals to the Indian reality, arguing that political democracy must be accompanied by social and economic democracy to be meaningful. He emphasized the importance of constitutional morality, which he defined as the adherence to the spirit rather than the mere letter of the Constitution, as the safeguard against tyranny and social injustice (Ambedkar, Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. XI, 38). Thus, while Ambedkar embraced the rational and individualist foundations of liberalism he simultaneously transcended them by grounding rights and liberties in social ethics and collective responsibility (Bajpai 64).

In essence, Ambedkar's philosophical foundations embody a creative synthesis of liberal constitutionalism, Buddhist humanism and revolutionary egalitarianism. By integrating the rational ideals of the Enlightenment, the ethical compassion of Buddhism and the emancipatory spirit of the French Revolution, Ambedkar redefined democracy as both a political structure and a way of life. For him, the Constitution was not merely a legal document but a moral instrument aimed at establishing a society based on justice, liberty, equality and fraternity (Omvedt 142). His constitutional philosophy thus continues to serve as the moral compass for India's democratic experiment and as an enduring critique of social inequality.

Ambedkar's democratic ideals emerged from a synthesis of Western liberal thought and Buddhist ethics. Drawing from thinkers like John Stuart Mill and Rousseau, he valued liberty and equality but he deepened them through the Buddhist principle of metta (universal compassion). His vision found constitutional embodiment in Part III (Fundamental Rights) and Part IV (Directive Principles of State Policy) of the Indian Constitution ensuring justice in political, economic and social spheres (Omvedt 145).

The Triad Explained

Dr. B.R. Ambedkar's constitutional philosophy finds its most profound articulation in the triad of Liberty, Equality and Fraternity which he adopted from the ideals of the French Revolution but reinterpreted within the Indian socio-political milieu. For Ambedkar, these principles were not abstract or ornamental values, they were moral and social imperatives essential to the survival of democracy. He believed that without these three interrelated

principles functioning harmoniously, democracy would degenerate into a mere political arrangement devoid of ethical substance (Ambedkar, Annihilation of Caste 65).

Liberty

For Ambedkar, liberty was not confined to the political sphere but extended to the social, economic, and spiritual dimensions of human life. He viewed liberty as the condition that enables individuals to think freely express themselves without fear and pursue a life of dignity (Omvedt 112). In his conception liberty meant freedom not only from political tyranny but also from social and religious oppression, which he identified as the primary constraints on the marginalized in Indian society. The Constitution of India embodies this ideal through the Fundamental Rights (Articles 19–22), which guarantee freedom of speech, association, movement and personal liberty and through the freedom of religion (Articles 25–28), which ensures spiritual autonomy for every citizen.

Ambedkar's belief that liberty must have an intellectual foundation led him to place immense faith in education as an instrument of emancipation. Education in his view, was the most effective tool to dismantle ignorance and servitude both of which sustained social hierarchies. Hence, for Ambedkar, liberty was not merely a constitutional guarantee but a moral process of self-realization and empowerment through learning and rational thought (Jaffrelot 186).

For Ambedkar, liberty meant not merely political independence but freedom from social and religious domination. He associated liberty with Articles 19-22, which secure civil and political freedoms and Articles 25-28, which ensure freedom of religion and belief. Education is a means of freedom that awakens democratic consciousness.

Equality

Ambedkar regarded equality as the cornerstone of social justice and the true test of democracy. He argued that India's greatest obstacle to democracy was not economic backwardness but the social inequality institutionalized through the caste system (Zelliot 97). His vision of equality was three-dimensional legal, political and socio-economic each reinforcing the other. Legal equality is reflected in Article 14 of the Constitution, which guarantees equal protection of the law to all citizens. Political equality was realized through the adoption of universal adult franchise a revolutionary step that gave every citizen, irrespective of caste, gender or religion, an equal voice in governance.

The third dimension, social and economic equality was to be achieved through affirmative action, labour rights and social justice provisions. Ambedkar's concern for the depressed classes found constitutional expression in Articles 15, 16, 17 and 46 which prohibit

discrimination, abolish untouchability and promote the educational and economic advancement of Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes. These provisions reflect Ambedkar's belief that political democracy must rest upon social and economic democracy. Equality for Ambedkar was not a static legal principle but an evolving process of redistributive justice aimed at human dignity and collective upliftment (Bajpai 72).

Equality according to Ambedkar, was the elimination of caste hierarchies and structural inequalities. It was reflected in Article 14 (Equality before Law), Article 15 (Prohibition of Discrimination), Article 16 (Equality of Opportunity in Public Employment) and Article 17 (Abolition of Untouchability). The Directive Principles, such as Article 38 (Promotion of Welfare of the People) and Article 46 (Protection of Educational and Economic Interests of the Weaker Sections), further constitutionalize his social justice vision.

Fraternity

Among the three principles fraternity held a unique place in Ambedkar's moral philosophy. He defined fraternity as a sense of common brotherhood of all Indians of Indians being one people (Ambedkar, Annihilation of Caste 67). Fraternity was the emotional and moral foundation of democracy, without which liberty and equality would remain mechanical and divisive. While liberty and equality could be secured through constitutional measures, fraternity had to be cultivated through ethical consciousness and social empathy (Keer 325).

Fraternity, the moral essence of democracy, is expressed in the Preamble and in Article 51A (e) of the Fundamental Duties. It harmonizes liberty and equality, transforming democracy into a system of ethical relationships based on mutual respect. (Ambedkar, Annihilation of Caste 69).

Ambedkar emphasized that democracy is not merely a form of government but a mode of associated living grounded in mutual respect and shared humanity. The Indian Constitution echoes this vision in Article 51A (e), which enjoins every citizen to promote harmony and the spirit of common brotherhood among all the people of India.” भारते सर्वेषां जनानां मध्ये सद्भावं समं भ्रातृत्वभावं च संवर्धयितुम्।” Fraternity, transcends caste, religion and region binding citizens in a moral unity necessary for sustaining democratic life (Omvedt 145). It transforms the political principle of equality into a social sentiment of solidarity, making democracy both a legal order and a moral fellowship (Jaffrelot 213).

In sum, Ambedkar's interpretation of liberty, equality and fraternity redefined democracy as both a constitutional mechanism and a moral mission. He envisioned these principles not as separate entities but as interdependent ethical pillars liberty enabling

individuality, equality ensuring justice and fraternity fostering unity. This triad, deeply rooted in Ambedkar's philosophical and moral reasoning, continues to guide India's democratic experiment toward a more inclusive and humane society.

The Interrelationship of the Triad

Ambedkar emphasized that the three principles liberty, equality and fraternity are interdependent and must function together. Liberty without equality breeds privilege equality without liberty results in coercion fraternity binds the two through moral unity (Ambedkar, Writings and Speeches, Vol. II, 210).

This interdependence is mirrored constitutionally. The Fundamental Rights secure liberty, the Directive Principles pursue social and economic equality and the Fundamental Duties foster fraternity. Together, they form the ethical architecture of the Republic. Ambedkar's democracy is a moral order of relationships, requiring constant balancing between freedom and justice (Omvedt 156).

Ambedkar warned that liberty without equality would degenerate into privilege and exploitation, as unrestrained freedom in a socially unequal society benefits only the dominant classes. In his view, liberty could be meaningful only when accompanied by social and economic justice. Conversely, equality without liberty would stifle individuality and lead to social stagnation and uniformity, suppressing the creative and moral energies of citizens (Zelliot 102). Thus, for Ambedkar, both liberty and equality required fraternity the moral sentiment that transforms formal democracy into a lived social experience. He regarded fraternity as the harmonizing principle that binds liberty and equality together, ensuring that freedom does not become selfish and equality does not become coercive (Ambedkar, Constituent Assembly Debates, Vol. XI, 39).

Fraternity, in Ambedkar's conception, represented the emotional and spiritual glue that holds a democratic society together. It fostered mutual respect, social empathy, and collective well-being, enabling citizens to transcend divisions of caste, creed and region (Keer 330). Without fraternity, Ambedkar argued, democracy would remain hollow, as institutional mechanisms alone cannot guarantee social harmony. He envisioned fraternity as the ethical foundation of Indian democracy, ensuring that liberty and equality are exercised within a framework of compassion and moral responsibility (Jaffrelot 216).

This interdependence of the triad liberty, equality and fraternity ensures that democracy in India is not merely institutional but ethical and humane. It reflects Ambedkar's broader philosophical aim of transforming political democracy into social democracy, where the principles of justice, dignity and solidarity guide human conduct. By integrating these three

values into the moral fabric of the Constitution, Ambedkar transformed democracy from a procedural system of governance into a spiritual and ethical ideal that aspires toward the full realization of human potential (Bajpai 81).

Social Democracy: Ambedkar's Ultimate Vision

Ambedkar distinguished political democracy periodic elections and representation from social democracy, which rests upon equality of status and opportunity. He warned that without social and economic reform, political democracy would degenerate into a tyranny of the majority (Ambedkar, Writings and Speeches, Vol. I, 134).

Social democracy finds constitutional grounding in the Directive Principles of State Policy, which direct the State to minimize inequalities (Article 38), ensure equitable distribution of resources (Article 39) secure living wages and social security for workers (Article 43). For Ambedkar, these provisions represented the State's moral obligation to translate constitutional morality into practice (Jaffrelot 232).

Education was for him the foundation of social democracy. By empowering the oppressed, it dismantles the caste-based monopoly of knowledge and power fulfilling the constitutional promise of Articles 41 and 46 which promote education and economic justice for weaker sections.

Ambedkar warned that the success of India's political democracy depended on the establishment of social democracy, without which constitutional mechanisms would remain hollow. Political democracy he argued grants 'one man one vote' but social democracy must ensure one man one value (Ambedkar, Annihilation of Caste 73). He feared that a deeply stratified society governed by caste hierarchies would render democratic institutions ineffective as formal political rights cannot thrive amidst social inequality (Zelliot 108). Thus, the project of nation-building required the annihilation of caste the economic empowerment of the depressed classes and the moral regeneration of society through education and fraternity.

Ambedkar viewed caste as the antithesis of democracy, for it denies the very principles of liberty and equality. His lifelong struggle for the emancipation of the Scheduled Castes and other marginalized groups was therefore, not merely a demand for representation but a quest for moral transformation a social revolution that would replace graded inequality with human fellowship (Jaffrelot 224).

Education occupied a central role in Ambedkar's vision of social democracy. He saw it as the instrument of moral and intellectual liberation, capable of breaking the chains of ignorance and servitude that perpetuate social divisions. Through education individuals could cultivate rationality, empathy and civic responsibility virtues indispensable for sustaining a

democratic ethos (Omvedt 152). Ambedkar's advocacy for labour rights, land reforms, and state intervention in the economy reflects his belief that economic justice is the foundation of social democracy (Bajpai 86).

At the core of Ambedkar's idea lies fraternity which he regarded as the moral cement of democracy. Fraternity transforms liberty and equality from legal rights into social relationships, ensuring that freedom is not exercised in isolation but in solidarity with others (Keer 338). For Ambedkar, social democracy was not a finished goal but a continuous moral project a life of principles, not merely of laws (Ambedkar, Writings and Speeches, Vol. I, 223). It requires constant vigilance to expand the circle of justice, freedom, and human dignity.

In this sense, social democracy is the soul of the Indian Constitution a living process of moral evolution through which liberty, equality and fraternity are continually deepened in both institutional and cultural life. Ambedkar's ultimate vision was to transform India from a society of hierarchy into a community of equals, where democracy is not just practiced in parliament but lived in everyday human relations.

Contemporary Relevance

Ambedkar's triad retains profound relevance in twenty-first century India. Despite constitutional guarantees, persistent caste discrimination, gender inequality and economic disparities threaten democratic harmony (Bajpai 89). Liberty without equality and fraternity would destroy itself echoes in the face of widening gaps between privilege and deprivation (Ambedkar, Annihilation of Caste 70).

However, Ambedkar's ideals continue to guide contemporary reforms. Policies such as affirmative action (Articles 15(4), 16(4)) reservations in education and employment and local self-governance through the 73rd and 74th Amendments embody his quest for inclusive democracy. The National Education Policy (NEP) 2020, emphasizing access, equity and quality extends Ambedkar's conviction that education is essential for liberation and moral regeneration (Bajpai 92).

Grassroots empowerment through Panchayati Raj Institutions and gender-sensitive reforms promote participatory governance, fulfilling Article 40, which directs the State to organize village panchayats as units of self-government. Thus, the ethical core of the Constitution liberty through rights, equality through justice and fraternity through cooperation remains vibrant in India's policy discourse (Keer 347).

At the same time, progressive social movements and constitutional interventions continue to carry forward Ambedkar's emancipatory legacy. Campaigns for gender justice, Dalit rights and environmental justice reflect an evolving consciousness grounded in

constitutional morality, a concept Ambedkar defined as adherence to the principles of liberty, equality and fraternity above partisan or sectarian interests (Jaffrelot 228). The expansion of affirmative action policies and judicial activism in defense of marginalized communities demonstrate the enduring vitality of Ambedkarite ethics in shaping India's public sphere (Zelliot 113).

Contemporary policy frameworks such as the National Education Policy (NEP) 2020 and decentralized governance through the Panchayati Raj Institutions also reflect Ambedkar's vision of grassroots empowerment and social justice. The NEP 2020 emphasizes inclusive and equitable access to education, that the foundation of moral regeneration (Ambedkar, Writings and Speeches, Vol. II, 112). Likewise, the strengthening of local self-government through the 73rd and 74th Constitutional Amendments furthers Ambedkar's democratic ideal of empowering citizens to participate directly in governance (Bajpai 92). These developments demonstrate that the essence of Ambedkar's social democracy liberty through education, equality through representation and fraternity through cooperation remains embedded in India's constitutional and developmental discourse.

Ultimately, Ambedkar's triad continues to serve as a moral compass for modern India, guiding efforts to reconcile economic growth with social justice and diversity with unity. In an era marked by technological transformation and global interdependence his philosophy urges the nation to balance progress with compassion, rights with responsibilities and freedom with fraternity. The continued invocation of Ambedkar's thought in academic, legal and activist circles affirms that his vision of a humane, inclusive and egalitarian democracy is not merely of historical importance but of enduring and expanding relevance in the contemporary world. (Keer 347).

Conclusion

Dr. B. R. Ambedkar's constitutional philosophy of liberty, equality, and fraternity remains the moral cornerstone of Indian democracy. These principles, enshrined in the Constitution's Preamble, Fundamental Rights, Directive Principles of State Policy, and Fundamental Duties, are not mere textual aspirations but moral imperatives that require active implementation in social life and governance. Ambedkar viewed these values as the mutually reinforcing foundations of a just society, where liberty without equality becomes privilege, equality without liberty leads to oppression, and both without fraternity lead to conflict (Omvedt 174).

The very existence of the Indian Republic depends on citizens embracing these ideals not only as legal guarantees, but also as social norms and civic responsibilities. Today, as

society faces growing inequalities, discrimination, and intolerance, Ambedkar's philosophy offers a transformative path toward a humane and inclusive order. His philosophy goes beyond legal texts: it shapes the nation's moral consciousness by demanding respect for all, especially the marginalized.

Ambedkar's constitutional trinity is not just a framework for governance, it is a moral mission that urges every citizen to develop liberty, uphold equality and practice fraternity, thereby ensuring the enduring success of Indian democracy.

References:

1. Ambedkar, B. R. *Annihilation of Caste*. Edited by M. Anand, Navayana Publishing, 2014.
2. Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: *Writings and Speeches*. Vol. I-II, Government of Maharashtra, 1979.
3. *The Buddha and His Dhamma*. Siddharth College Publications, 1957.
4. *Constituent Assembly Debates*. Vol. XI, Government of India, 1949.
5. Bajpai, Rochana. *Debating Difference: Group Rights and Liberal Democracy in India*. Oxford -University Press, 2011.
6. Dewey, John. *Democracy and Education*. 1916. The Free Press, 2004.
7. Jaffrelot, Christophe. *Dr Ambedkar and Untouchability: Fighting the Indian Caste System*. Permanent Black, 2005.
8. Keer, Dhananjay. *Dr. Babasaheb Ambedkar: Life and Mission*. Bombay 3rd ed., Popular Prakashan, 1990.
9. Omvedt, Gail. *Ambedkar: Towards an Enlightened India*. Penguin Books, 2004.
10. Zelliott, Eleanor. *From Untouchable to Dalit: Essays on the Ambedkar Movement*. Manohar Publishers, 1992.
11. Zelliott, Eleanor. *From Untouchable to Dalit: Essays on the Ambedkar Movement*. New Delhi: Manohar Publishers, 1992.
12. Ambedkar, B.R. (1946). *Annihilation of Caste*.